# HIGHER ORDER DERIVATIVES OR CUBE, OR ALGEBRAIC, OR INTEGRAL

Yann Rotella

Université de Versailles Saint Quentin en Yvelines

kodeketa eta kriptografiaren egunak Hendaye, le 14 avril 2022





REPRESENTATION

DEGREE

**DIVISION PROPERTY** 

ATTACK STRATEGIES

#### THE ALGEBRAIC NORMAL FORM

 $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2$  Then f can be uniquely represented as an element of

$$\mathbb{F}_{2}[x_{0},\ldots,x_{n-1}]/(x_{0}^{2}-x_{0},\ldots,x_{n-1}^{2}-x_{n-1})$$

That is a sum of monomials, i.e. for some  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ 

$$x^{u} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i}^{u_{i}}$$

Example :  $x_0 x_2 x_3 = x^{10110000}$ 

#### THE ALGEBRAIC NORMAL FORM

 $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2$  Then f can be uniquely represented as an element of

$$\mathbb{F}_{2}[x_{0},\ldots,x_{n-1}]/(x_{0}^{2}-x_{0},\ldots,x_{n-1}^{2}-x_{n-1})$$

That is a sum of monomials, i.e. for some  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ 

$$x^u = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{u_i}$$

Example :  $x_0 x_2 x_3 = x^{10110000}$ 

$$f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1})=\bigoplus_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}c_ux^u$$

with  $c_u \in \mathbb{F}_2$ .

# $TRUTH \ TABLE \ AND \ MONOMIALS$

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} c_u x^u$$
$$f(a) = \bigoplus_{u \prec a} c_u \text{ and } c_u = \bigoplus_{a \prec u} f(a)$$

Where  $a \prec u$  iff  $supp(a) \subset supp(u)$ 

# $TRUTH \ TABLE \ AND \ MONOMIALS$

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} c_u x^u$$
$$f(a) = \bigoplus_{u \prec a} c_u \text{ and } c_u = \bigoplus_{a \prec u} f(a)$$
Where  $a \prec u$  iff  $\operatorname{supp}(a) \subset \operatorname{supp}(u)$  Also

wt(u) = #supp(u)

A function from  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2^m$  is represented as a collection of *m* boolean functions, called component functions.

- For permutations, the monomial  $x_0x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}$  never appears
- A random function has its monomials appearing each with probability 1/2 in each component function.

REPRESENTATION

#### DEGREE

**DIVISION PROPERTY** 

ATTACK STRATEGIES

**RANDOM DIRECTIONS** 

5/20

# HIGHER-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL ATTACKS [LAI 94]

$$f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1})=\bigoplus_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}c_ux^u$$

DEFINITION (MULTIVARIATE DEGREE)

$$d = \deg(f) = \max\{\operatorname{wt}(u), c_u = 1\}$$

# HIGHER-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL ATTACKS [LAI 94]

$$f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1})=\bigoplus_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}c_ux^u$$

DEFINITION (MULTIVARIATE DEGREE)

$$d = \deg(f) = \max\{\operatorname{wt}(u), c_u = 1\}$$

Distinguisher :

For all linear space V, with  $\dim(V) \ge d+1$ ,

$$g: x \mapsto \sum_{v \in V} f(x+v)$$

is constant to zero.

At different level :

For any *F* and *G*,  $\deg(F \circ G) \leq \deg(F) \times \deg(G)$ 

At different level :

- For any *F* and *G*,  $\deg(F \circ G) \leq \deg(F) \times \deg(G)$
- A better bound by A. Canteau and C. Boura [2011, FSE]

At different level :

- For any *F* and *G*,  $\deg(F \circ G) \leq \deg(F) \times \deg(G)$
- A better bound by A. Canteau and C. Boura [2011, FSE]
- Better upper bound when the structure is specific [CGGLRS, 2020]

What is missing?

What is missing? A component of  $E_k(x)$  can be represented as

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}c_u(k)x^u$$

and assume that for any  $v \succ u$ ,  $c_v(k) = 0$ . Then what is the possible degree of  $E_k(x)$  in x?

What is missing? A component of  $E_k(x)$  can be represented as

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}c_u(k)x^u$$

and assume that for any  $v \succ u$ ,  $c_v(k) = 0$ . Then what is the possible degree of  $E_k(x)$  in x?

$$f(x) = x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 + x_3 + x_4 x_5 + x_6$$

What is missing? A component of  $E_k(x)$  can be represented as

$$\sum_{u\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}c_u(k)x^u$$

and assume that for any  $v \succ u$ ,  $c_v(k) = 0$ . Then what is the possible degree of  $E_k(x)$  in x?

$$f(x) = x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 + x_3 + x_4 x_5 + x_6$$

Upper bound is not enough : lower bound [HLLT 2020]

REPRESENTATION

DEGREE

**DIVISION PROPERTY** 

ATTACK STRATEGIES

**RANDOM DIRECTIONS** 

9/20

## SPECIFIC HIGHER ORDER DERIVATIVE

Assume that for any  $w \succ u$ ,  $c_w(k) = 0$ , then let  $V = \{v, v \prec u\}$ . Then for any x,

$$\sum_{v\in V}E_k(x+v)=0$$

## SPECIFIC HIGHER ORDER DERIVATIVE

Assume that for any  $w \succ u$ ,  $c_w(k) = 0$ , then let  $V = \{v, v \prec u\}$ . Then for any x,

$$\sum_{v\in V} E_k(x+v) = 0$$

We do not want :

"there do not exist a family of monomials of the form  $\{x^u, u \succ u_0\}$ ".

## SPECIFIC HIGHER ORDER DERIVATIVE

Assume that for any  $w \succ u$ ,  $c_w(k) = 0$ , then let  $V = \{v, v \prec u\}$ . Then for any x,

$$\sum_{v\in V} E_k(x+v) = 0$$

We do not want :

"there do not exist a family of monomials of the form  $\{x^u, u \succ u_0\}$ ".

# **Division Property [Todo 2015]**

# **TECHNIQUES**

- Using the representation of the Sbox and the linear layer, this division property can be used for iterated construction
- Mixed Integer Linear Programming
- Lower bound the degree
- Monomial prediction, monomial trails

# **PROBLEMS**



Easy for one monomial, not easy for all...

#### PROBLEMS

- Easy for one monomial, not easy for all...
- Not linearly equivalent [LDF, 2020]

REPRESENTATION

DEGREE

**DIVISION PROPERTY** 

ATTACK STRATEGIES

**RANDOM DIRECTIONS** 

13/20





- Proofs of modes, wrt indistinguishability
- Same reasoning for permutation-based constructions.

















## TAKING THE MODE INTO ACCOUNT

Pyjamask-96

- Distinguisher integral over 10 + 1 rounds
- 3 rounds in the backward direction (monomial count)

## TAKING THE MODE INTO ACCOUNT

#### Pyjamask-96

- Distinguisher integral over 10 + 1 rounds
- 3 rounds in the backward direction (monomial count)

#### Considering the mode

- One round in the forward direction
- One round in the backward direction

## TAKING THE MODE INTO ACCOUNT

Pyjamask-96

- Distinguisher integral over 10 + 1 rounds
- 3 rounds in the backward direction (monomial count)

Considering the mode

- One round in the forward direction
- One round in the backward direction

Considering the data complexity...

## **ON DUPLEX OR STREAM CIPHERS**



#### ON DUPLEX OR STREAM CIPHERS



What can you do?

REPRESENTATION

DEGREE

**DIVISION PROPERTY** 

ATTACK STRATEGIES

**RANDOM DIRECTIONS** 

18/20



- Representation of polynomials?
- Given a polynomial, find a (non) linear transofrmation that would become an affine space after application?

- Representation of polynomials?
- Given a polynomial, find a (non) linear transofrmation that would become an affine space after application?
- Provide a way to state "every c<sub>u</sub>(k) is complicated enough"?

- Representation of polynomials?
- Given a polynomial, find a (non) linear transofrmation that would become an affine space after application?
- Provide a way to state "every c<sub>u</sub>(k) is complicated enough"?
- Criteria that would be equivalent under the representation of the transformation?

#### TAKE AWAY

#### I'm sure there is a monomial missing somewhere !